

On the convergence of continued fractions at Runckel's points and the Ramanujan conjecture

Alexei Tsygvintsev

8 December 2004

U.M.P.A, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon
46, allée d'Italie, F-69364 Lyon Cedex 07
E-mail: atsygvint@umpa.ens-lyon.fr

Abstract: We consider the limit periodic continued fractions of Stieltjes

$$\frac{1}{1-} \frac{g_1 z}{1-} \frac{g_2(1-g_1)z}{1-} \frac{g_3(1-g_2)z}{1-\dots}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad g_i \in (0, 1), \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i = 1/2, \quad (1)$$

appearing as Shur–Wall g -fraction representations of certain analytic self maps of the unit disc $|w| < 1$, $w \in \mathbb{C}$. We precise the convergence behavior and prove the general convergence [2, p. 564] of (1) at the Runckel's points of the singular line $(1, +\infty)$. It is shown that in some cases the convergence holds in the classical sense. As a result a counterexample to the Ramanujan conjecture [1, p. 38-39] stating the divergence of a certain class of limit periodic continued fractions is constructed.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the class of analytic self maps of the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$. For $e \in \mathcal{E}$ we introduce, following Shur [5], the parameters $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of $e(w)$ as follows

$$e_0(w) = e(w), \quad t_0 = e_0(0), \quad e_{n+1}(w) = \frac{1}{w} \frac{e_n(w) - t_n}{1 - \bar{t}_n e_n(w)}, \quad t_{n+1} = e_{n+1}(0).$$

The recursively defined functions

$$[w; t_i] = t_i, \quad [w; t_l, \dots, t_k] = \frac{t_l + w[w; t_{l+1}, \dots, t_k]}{1 + \bar{t}_l w[w; t_{l+1}, \dots, t_k]}, \quad 0 \leq l < k,$$

provide then representation of $e(w)$

$$e(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [w; t_0, \dots, t_n],$$

which convergence uniformly over every compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$.

Let

$$s_i(w; t) = t_i + \frac{(1 - |t_i|^2)w}{\bar{t}_i w + t^{-1}},$$

be an infinite sequence of Möbius transformations of the variable t . We define $S_p(w; t) = s_0 \circ s_1 \circ \dots \circ s_p(w; t)$. The following formula can be easily derived: $[w; t_0, \dots, t_n] = S_{n-1}(w; t_n)$, $n \geq 1$ and thus $e(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n-1}(w; t_n)$.

Conversely with each sequence of complex numbers t_i with $|t_i| < 1$, $i \geq 0$ one can associate an analytic function $e(w)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\sup_{|w| < 1} |e(w)| \leq 1$ such that t_i are just as above.

We denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the set of continued g -fractions of Stieltjes

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1-} \frac{g_1 z}{1-} \frac{g_2(1-g_1)z}{1-} \frac{g_3(1-g_2)z}{1-\dots}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad g_i \in (0, 1). \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.1. Let $h_i(z; t) = \frac{a_i}{1+t}$, $i \geq 0$ be an infinite sequence of Möbius transformations of the variable t with $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = -zg_1$, $a_i = -g_i(1-g_{i-1})z$, $i \geq 2$ and let $H_n(z; t) = h_0 \circ h_1 \circ \dots \circ h_n(z; t)$. Then the continued fraction (1.1) is called convergent at the point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(z; 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(z; \infty),$$

exist in the extended complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\infty$.

As shown in [6, p. 279] the continued fraction (1.1) converges for all z from the domain $C = \mathbb{C}_- \cup \mathbb{C}_+ \cup (-\infty, 1)$ to an analytic function $g(z)$ with the property $\operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{1+z}g(z)) > 0$, $\forall z \in C$.

The Definition 1.1 of convergence is a classical one and is rather unsatisfactory as one can imagine situations then $H_n(z; t)$ may converge at many t , but perhaps not when t is 0 or ∞ (see [2, p. 565]). The following refined definition of convergence is due to Lisa Jacobsen [3, p. 480]

Definition 1.2. *The continued fraction (1.1) converges generally to a value $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ at $z \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ if there exist sequences u_n and v_n in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(z; u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(z; v_n) = \alpha, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(u_n, v_n) > 0,$$

where $\sigma(x, y)$ is a chordal distance between $x, y \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

One can see arbitrary Möbius transformations $l_n(z)$ as isometries of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$ [2, p. 559]. Then the above definition is fully justified by the following geometric result due to Beardon

Theorem 1.3. ([2, p. 567]) *A sequence l_n of Möbius maps converges generally to $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ iff $l_n \rightarrow \alpha$ pointwise on $\mathbb{H}^3$.*

A continued fraction convergent in the classical sense always converges generally to the same value (one puts $u_n = 0$, $v_n = \infty$, $n \geq 0$ in Definition 1.2). The next theorem describes the correspondence between functions from the classes $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{W}$

Theorem 1.4. ([6, p. 289]) *Let*

$$w = -1 + 2(1 - \sqrt{1 - z})/z, \tag{1.2}$$

be the conformal mapping of C onto $\mathbb{D}$ with a positive square root branch for $z < 1$. To every function $e(w) \in \mathcal{E}$ corresponds a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{W}$ according to

$$\frac{1 + w}{1 - w} \frac{1 - we(w)}{1 + we(w)} = g(z),$$

where the coefficients t_i and g_i are related by $t_{k-1} = 1 - 2g_k$, $k \geq 1$.

2. The Runckel's points

Let t_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$ be a sequence of real numbers with $|t_i| < 1$. We assume that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_i^2 < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $E \subset \mathcal{E}$ be the subset of functions $e(w)$ whose parameters t_i satisfy the above conditions.

Definition 2.1. *A point $r \neq \pm 1$, $|r| = 1$ is a Runckel's point for $e(w) \in E$ if the limit $e(r) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [r; t_0, \dots, t_n]$ exists and is equal to 1.*

We note that, according to Runckel [4, p. 98], if in addition to (2.1), (2.2) there exists a natural p such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |t_{i+p} - t_i| < +\infty$, then, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $[w; t_0, \dots, t_k]$ converges uniformly over every compact subset of $|w| \leq 1$, $w^p \neq 1$ to $e(w)$ (analytic in $\mathbb{D}$) continuous and $|e(w)| < 1$ for all w in $|w| \leq 1$, $w^p \neq 1$. Thus, in this particular case, every Runckel's point r satisfies $r^p = 1$. We will see some examples of these functions in Section 3.

The following result concerns the general convergence of $g(z)$ at Runckel's points

Theorem 2.2. *Let $e(w) \in E$, let r be its Runckel's point and $g(z) \in \mathcal{W}$ be the corresponding g -fraction given by Theorem 1.4. Then $g(z)$ converges generally at the point*

$$z_r = 2(1 + \operatorname{Re}(r))^{-1} > 1, \quad (2.3)$$

which is the image of r by the conformal mapping (1.2) (called also a Runckel's point of $g(z)$).

Proof. The following formula relates the partial approximants of the fractions $e(z)$ and $g(z)$ (see Theorem 78.1, [6])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w}{1-w} \frac{1-wS_n(w;t)}{1+wS_n(w;t)} &= H_{n+1}(p(w); l_{n+1}(w;t)), \\ H_{n+1}(p(w); l_{p+1}(w;t)) &= h_0 \circ h_1(p(w); t) \circ h_2(p(w); t) \circ \dots \\ \dots \circ h_{n+1}(p(w); l_{n+1}(w;t)), \quad n &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $l_{n+1}(w;t) = -2 \frac{(1-g_{n+1})(1-t)w}{(1-wt)(1+w)}$, $p(w) = 4w^2/(1+w)^2$.

Let r and z_r be the Runckel's points of $e(w)$ and $g(z)$ respectively as given in (2.3). We note that the parameters $t_{k-1} = 1 - 2g_k$ are real thus $e(w)$

always has two complex Runckel's points r and $\bar{r}$, $r \neq \bar{r}$ corresponding to unique $z_r = p(r) = p(\bar{r}) > 1$ belonging to the singular line of the continued fraction $g(z)$. Putting $t = t_{n+1}$, $w = r, \bar{r}$, $z = z_r$ in (2.4) and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{n+1}(z_r, u_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{n+1}(z_r, v_{n+1}) = 1, \quad (2.5)$$

with $u_{n+1} = \overline{v_{n+1}} = l_{n+1}(r; t_{n+1})$. Finally one observes that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(u_n, v_n) > 0$ that leads to the general convergence of $g(z)$ at $z = z_r$. $\square$

The sequence $H_n(z_r; 0)$, $n \geq 1$ can be still divergent in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ i.e $g(z)$ being divergent at $z = z_r$ in the classical sense. Nevertheless we have the following result

Lemma 2.2. *One has*

$$\min\{|H_n(z_r; 0) - 1|, |H_{n+1}(z_r; 0) - 1|\} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof.

To see it we follow the Beardon's geometric method described in [2]. Let $\gamma_{0,\infty}$ be the vertical geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^3$ with endpoints 0 and ∞ , let γ_{u_n, v_n} be the geodesic with endpoints u_n and v_n . One checks that the hyperbolic distance d between $\gamma_{0,\infty}$ and γ_{u_n, v_n} always satisfies $d > 0$. Since $H_n(z_r; t)$ are isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$, the distance between $H_n(z_r; \gamma_{0,\infty})$ and $H_n(z_r; \gamma_{u_n, v_n})$ is also d . According to (2.5), as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the geodesic $H_n(z_r; \gamma_{u_n, v_n})$ shrinks to the point $1 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ and thus $\min\{|H_{n+1}(z_r; 0) - 1|, |H_{n+1}(z_r; \infty) - 1|\} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ that gives (2.6). $\square$

We denote $\text{dist}(X, Y)$ the Euclidean distance between two subsets $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

The following theorem describes the possible limit behavior of the sequence $H_n(z_r; 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.3. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(H_n(z_r; 0), \{1, \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^2\}) = 0$

Proof. Putting $t = 1$, $w = r$ in (2.4) one obtains

$$\frac{1+r}{1-r} \frac{1-r[r; t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n, 1]}{1+r[r; t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n, 1]} = H_{n+1}(z_r; 0). \quad (2.7)$$

We define $A_\nu, C_\nu, \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{C}_n$ by the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= 1, \quad C_0 = t_0, \\ A_{\nu+1} &= A_\nu + t_{\nu+1} r^{\nu+1} \bar{C}_\nu, \\ C_{\nu+1} &= C_\nu + t_{\nu+1} r^{\nu+1} \bar{A}_\nu, \quad 0 \leq \nu \leq n-1, \\ \tilde{A}_n &= A_n + r^{n+1} \bar{C}_n, \quad \tilde{C}_n = C_n + r^{n+1} \bar{A}_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Then, as follows from [4, p. 99], we have

$$[r; t_0, t_1, \dots, t_\nu] = \frac{C_\nu}{A_\nu}, \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq n, \quad [r; t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n, 1] = \frac{\tilde{C}_n}{\tilde{A}_n},$$

and

$$1 - \left| \frac{C_n}{A_n} \right|^2 = \frac{P_n}{|A_n|^2}. \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{C}_n}{\tilde{A}_n} - \frac{C_n}{A_n} = \frac{P_n}{A_\nu \tilde{A}_n}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $P_n = \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - t_k^2)$.

According to Shur [6, p. 138]

$$\tilde{C}_n = r^{\nu+1} \tilde{\tilde{A}}_n, \tag{2.11}$$

that gives in particular $|\tilde{C}_n| = |\tilde{\tilde{A}}_n|$, $n \geq 1$.

In view of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n/A_n = 1$ the formula (2.9) implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n - C_n) = 0$ since P_n is bounded from zero by (2.1). We have also $\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{C}_n \neq 0, \forall n$ by $|C_n| < |A_n|$.

If $\tilde{A}_n$ is bounded from zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C}_n/\tilde{A}_n = 1$ according to (2.10) and the Theorem 2.3 is proved. Let now assume that there exists an infinite sequence of $\nu, \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_{\nu_i} = 0$. Then, as seen from (2.8) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n/A_n = 1$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$, $\arg(A_{\nu_i})$ is close to $(\pi + \arg(r^{\nu_i+1}))/2, \text{ mod } (\pi)$ and $\arg(\tilde{A}_{\nu_i})$ is close to one of the following values: $\{\arg(A_{\nu_i}) \pm \pi/2, \arg(A_{\nu_i}), \arg(A_{\nu_i}) + \pi\}$. By (2.11) that implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\tilde{C}_{\nu_i}/\tilde{A}_{\nu_i}, \{1, -1\}) = 0$. The result follows then from (2.7). $\square$

3. The Ramanujan's conjecture on the convergence of limit periodic continued fractions

It is interesting to find examples of functions $e(w) \in E$ with the classical convergence at the Runckel's points.

We define $e_p(w) = (1 + w^p)/2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, as shown in [5, p. 142] (see also [4, p. 106]),

$$e_p(w) = [w; t_0, 0, \dots, 0, t_1, \dots, 0, t_2, 0, \dots],$$

$$t_0 = 1/2, \quad t_n = 2/(2n + 1), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $p - 1$ zeros are added between t_n and t_{n+1} . According to Shur the function $e_p(w) \in E$ is continuous in $|w| \leq 1$ with Runckel's points w given by the roots of $w^p = 1$, $w \neq \pm 1$. The coefficients g_i of the corresponding to $e_p(w)$ continued fraction $g_p(z)$ are defined by relations $g_k = (1 - t_{k-1})/2$ and

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad g_{1+l} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad l \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad g_{1+pk} = \frac{2k-1}{2(2k+1)}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Introducing the parameters $b_1 = g_1$, $b_i = g_i(1 - g_{i-1})$, $i \geq 2$ one obtains

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad b_2 = \frac{3}{8}, \quad b_{1+l} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad l \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}, \quad b_{1+pk} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{2k-1}{2k+1},$$

$$b_{2+pk} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{2k+3}{2k+1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

In particular $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = 1/4$.

The continued fractions $g_p(z)$ has the Runckel's point $z_p = \cos^{-2}(\pi/p) > 1$ corresponding to $r = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ – the Runckel's point of $e_p(w)$.

Repeating the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.3 it is easy to show that for every odd $p > 1$ the limit periodic continued fractions

$$g_p(z_p) = \frac{1}{1-} \frac{b_1 z_p}{1-} \frac{b_2 z_p}{1-} \frac{b_3 z_p}{1-} \dots, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i z_p = z_p/4 > 1/4,$$

converges to 1 and is divergent by oscillations if p is even.

Indeed, as seen from formulas (2.8), the coefficients A_ν , C_ν are always real and positive for $\nu \geq 0$ and thus $\tilde{A}_\nu = A_\nu + r^{\nu+1} \tilde{C}_\nu$, $r = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ is bounded or not from 0 as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ depending on whether p is odd or even.

In the simplest case $p = 3$ the above continued fraction takes the form

$$\frac{1}{1-} \frac{1}{1-} \frac{3/2}{1-} \frac{1}{1-} \frac{1/3}{1-} \frac{5/3}{1-} \frac{1}{1-} \frac{3/5}{1-} \frac{7/5}{1-} \frac{1}{1-} \frac{5/7}{1-} \frac{9/7}{1-} \frac{1}{1-} \frac{7/9}{1-} \frac{11/9}{1-} \frac{1}{1-\dots},$$

and converges to 1.

For a general limit periodic continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{1-} \frac{a_1}{1-} \frac{a_2}{1-\dots},$$

given by a sequence of real parameters a_i , $i \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = a > 1/4$ Ramanujan stated that it is always divergent (see [1, p. 38-39] for details). The above continued fraction represents a counterexample to this conjecture.

3. Acknowledgments.

The author is grateful to A. Glutsyuk for useful discussions.

List of references

- [1] G. E. Andrews, B. C. Berndt, L. Jacobson, R.L. Lamphere, The continued fractions found in the unorganized portions of Ramanujan's notebooks, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 99, no. 477, 1992
- [2] A.F. Beardon, Continued fractions, discrete groups and complex dynamics, Computational Methods and Function Theory, Volume 1 (2001), No. 2, 535-594
- [3] L. Jacobsen, General convergence of continued fractions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 294 (1986), 477-485
- [4] H.-J., Runckel, Bounded analytic functions in the unit disk and the behavior of certain analytic continued fractions near the singular line, J. reine angew. Math. 281 (1976), 97-125
- [5] I. Shur, Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind, J. reine angew. Math. 147 (1916), 205-232, and 148 (1917), 122-145
- [6] H. S. Wall, Analytic theory of continued fractions, D. van Nostrand Company Inc., NY, London, 1948